



# Multiple Ways of Working with Users to Develop Physically Assistive Robots

**Amal Nanavati**\*,<sup>1</sup>, **Max Pascher**\*,<sup>2,3</sup>, Vinitha Ranganeni<sup>1</sup>, Ethan K. Gordon<sup>1</sup>, Taylor Kessler Faulkner<sup>1</sup>, Siddhartha S. Srinivasa<sup>1</sup>, Maya Cakmak<sup>1</sup>, Patrícia Alves-Oliveira<sup>4</sup>, Jens Gerken<sup>2</sup>

amaln@cs.washington.edu, max.pascher@udo.edu

\* Authors contributed equally

<sup>1</sup> University of Washington

<sup>2</sup> Technical University of Dortmund

<sup>3</sup> University of Duisburg-Essen

<sup>4</sup> University of Michigan

Although physically assistive robotics (PAR) research is increasing, nearly *half* of PAR user studies do *not* involve participants with the target disabilities.

This is due to several challenges – recruitment, small sample sizes, transportation logistics – all influenced by systemic barriers people with disabilities face.

In this work, we reflect upon 3 multi-year PAR projects, and present recommendations for working with users, grouped by 3 key dimensions.

## Project 1 Assistive Feeding

Goal: empower users to feed themselves meals with a robot arm.



Methods: community research, remote interviews, out-of-lab studies.

## Project 2 Assistive Teleoperation

Goal: empower users to do diverse tasks with a mobile manipulator.



Methods: home deployments, co-design, remote studies.

## Project 3 Shared Control

Goal: enable users to move a robot arm with an intuitive interface.



Methods: at-home ethnographic study, trade fair deployment and study.

## Individual- vs. Community-Level Insights

**Key Question:** How should we balance between deep research with few participants versus broad research with many participants?



**Recommendations:** Projects span a long time; there is room for both.

- Look for **tech enthusiasts** from the community; they may be excited to partner with you long-term.
- Recruitment for **deep** and **broad** research is complementary.

## Logistic Burden on Users vs. Researchers

Key Question: How can we navigate the differential logistical burdens that end-users and researchers face to participate in a user study?



### **Recommendations:**

- Remote studies can decrease the logistical burden on participants.
- Go to the participant: e.g., trade fairs, home deployments.
- Good system design makes it easier to go to the participant.

# Benefit to Researchers vs. Community

Key Question: What benefits do researchers and users get from study participation? How should that knowledge influence our work?





### **Recommendations:**

- Co-designing tasks with participants increases the chance they benefit from the study.
- Support participants beyond the study, e.g., sharing resources, teaching them desired skills.





